

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/440,704	11/16/1999	TOMOKATSU KISHI	1450.1001	3313
21171	7590 12/15/2003		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EISEN, ALEXANDER	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART ŲNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2674	76
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/440,704	KISHI ET AL.				
ام	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Alexander Eisen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: added new limitation may require further	consideration and/or search					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: 8 and 15-17.		4				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-7,9-11,13,14 and 18</u> .		//////				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		\\\\ <b>\</b> \\\\				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	he ∉xam/n/e/				
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	SUPE!	RICHARD MUERFE TO LOCAL VIOLENCE OF THE COLORY OF THE COLORY				

Continuation of 10. Other: the applicant argues that the finality of the previous Office action should be withdrawn, because Action relies on a combination of two references while asserting an anticipation rejection under 35 USC 102(b). Examiner respectfully disagrees. The ite4 of the Action recites the basi for the following relection as 35 USC 103. The heading in item 5 clearle states that claims are rejected as unpatentable over first reference in view of the second, which is in compliance with regionement of section 103, and not based on anticipation by single reference as applicant alleges. Therefore the wording 102(b) appearing in item 5 would be clearlyunderstood as a typographical error, and that is the way it should be treated...